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#### Abstract

Alkylated anisole complexes of pentaammineosmium(II) are treated with methyl vinyl ketone and triflic acid to form 4 H -anisolium Michael adducts. These compounds deprotonate regioselectively at the benzylic position adjacent to C 3 and then undergo an aldol cyclization with the pendant carbonyl to form the decalin core. Reduction of the dienonium product can be directed in a 1,4 fashion to generate a trans decalin or in a 1,2 fashion to provide a methoxydiene complex that serves as a precursor to other functionalized decalins.


## Introduction

It is well established that an electron-withdrawing transition metal coordinated to an arene activates benzylic positions. ${ }^{1,2}$ The electrophilic metal center is typically coordinated to the arene in an $\eta^{6}$ fashion and stabilizes the anion resulting from benzylic deprotonation such that it may be combined with a suitable electrophile to generate highly substituted arenes. Our interest in the ability of pentaammineosmium(II) to bind arenes in an $\eta^{2}$ fashion and subsequently activate them toward electrophilic addition reactions ${ }^{3-5}$ led us to question whether an $\eta^{2}$-arenium system resulting from electrophilic addition might be stable enough that deprotonation at the benzylic position could successfully compete with rearomatization. Such a reaction sequence would be complementary to $\eta^{6}$-arene chemistry and would constitute a new method for the activation of benzylic carbons. In the following account we explore this reaction sequence using 3-alkylated anisole complexes of osmium(II) in an intramolecular aldol reaction sequence to form functionalized decalins (Scheme 1).

## Results

Arene complexes of pentaammineosmium(II) undergo addition reactions with a variety of electrophiles to give stable 4 H arenium complexes. ${ }^{4}$ Of the different arenium species that we have studied, ${ }^{3-5} 4 \mathrm{H}$-anisolium complexes are the most electrondeficient and thus are most likely to undergo deprotonation at a benzylic position. ${ }^{4}$ Through the introduction of an $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone to C 4 of a 3-alkylated anisole, we hoped to both generate the anisolium species and use the tethered ketone as an electrophile in an intramolecular aldol reaction. Unlike their C4-protonated counterparts, 4,4-dialkylated anisolium

[^0]Scheme 1. Michael-Aldol Cyclization with an Arene: A Retrosynthetic Analysis

complexes can be isolated and characterized at room temperature. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, our first attempt was to use the complex of $3,4-$ dimethylanisole (1) where the resulting 4 H -anisolium could not be rearomatized by simple deprotonation. Accordingly, when the 3,4-dimethyl anisole complex (1) and MVK are combined with triflic acid in acetonitrile $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, a brilliant purple color develops. The anisolium complex 2 is isolated ( $96 \%$ ) upon precipitation from diethyl ether. Key spectroscopic features of 2 include broad cis- and trans-ammine resonances located approximately 1 ppm apart as well as two carbonyl resonances near 200 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.

When the anisolium complex $\mathbf{2}$ is treated with the weak base dimethylacetamide (DMA) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, an intramolecular aldol reaction occurs between the methyl group at C 3 and the C 4 side chain carbonyl (Scheme 2). The reaction is complete in approximately 15 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the final product is isolated as a $7: 1$ mixture of C 7 epimers in $96 \%$ yield. As the reaction occurs, it is possible to monitor the conversion of $\mathbf{2}$ to $\mathbf{4 a}$ (the major diastereomer) by watching the disappearance of the three methyl group signals at $2.44,2.09$, and 1.23 ppm . These three signals are replaced by the emergence of only two new

Scheme 2. Osmium(II)-Promoted Synthesis of a Decalin Ring System from 3,4-Dimethylanisole and MVK

methyl groups at 1.37 and $1.28 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT data confirm that $\mathbf{4 a}$ is the decalin complex shown in Scheme 2.

Amine bases such as ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (Hünig's base) or pyridine stoichiometrically deprotonate C 3 to generate the methoxytriene intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ (Scheme 2). This material is differentiated from 2 by a lack of a methyl signal near $\delta 2.4$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and the presence of an olefinic methylene group at $\delta$ 107 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (DEPT). When complex 3 is treated with a Lewis acid such as boron trifluoride etherate $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$ followed by triflic acid, the aldol product $\mathbf{4}$ is again formed as a 7:1 C7 epimeric mixture.

More highly substituted anisole complexes also participate in the Michael addition/aldol reaction sequence. The 6 -meth-oxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene complex 5 reacts with MVK under reaction conditions similar to those for the preparation of 2 (Scheme 3). However, when the resulting anisolium species 6 is combined with DMA, the aldol reaction which generates 7 does not go to completion, but rather reaches dynamic equilibrium as a $1: 1$ mixture of complexes 6 and 7 . Thus, it is necessary to drive the reaction to completion using an oxophilic electrophile. The conjugated vinyl ether complex $\mathbf{8}$ is generated under basic conditions by treatment of 7 with pyridine. Subsequent treatment of $\mathbf{8}$ with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (TBSOTf) promotes the aldol reaction which is followed by a spontaneous elimination of TBSOH to form complex 9 in good yield ( $82 \%$ ). The $4 H$-anisolium complex 9 is surprisingly resistant to hydrolysis, but dissolution in water and heating at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a period of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$ results in the conversion to the dienone complex. This product is oxidized by treatment with ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) to release the tricyclic dienone (10) in $25 \%$ yield.

The 3-methylanisole complex $\mathbf{1 1}$ undergoes a Michael addition with MVK to form what we assume to be the $4 H$ anisolium 12 (not characterized). Unlike the 4-alkylanisolium intermediates $\mathbf{2}$ and 6, 4 H -anisolium intermediates such as $\mathbf{1 2}$ are not stable at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and must be manipulated at low temperature. To determine the feasibility of using these materials in the intramolecular aldol process, the regioselectivity for the deprotonation of 4 H -anisolium complex 12 was exam-

Scheme 3. Osmium(II)-Promoted Michael-Aldol Reaction Sequence Starting with a Tetralin Complex
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Table 1. Deprotonation of Anisolium Complex 12

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Base | Solvent | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (13: 14) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | pyridine | MeCN | -40 | >95:5 |
| 2 | 2,6-lutidine | MeCN | -40 | >95:5 |
| 3 | 2,6-di'Bu pyridine | MeCN | -40 | >95:5 |
| 4 | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NE}$ ( | MeCN | -40 | 1:7 |
| 5 | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NE}$ t | MeCN/EtCN | -80 | 1:12 |
| 6 | DBU | MeCN | -40 | 1:3 |

ined with a variety of different bases at both -40 and $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results are presented in Table 1. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with any of the weak pyridine-derived bases (entries $1-3$ ) results in regioselective deprotonation at C 4 to generate the arene complex 13 as the only product ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). However, the stronger tertiary amine bases in Table $1\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right.$ and DBU ) favor deprotonation at the C3 methyl group. Lowering the temperature further promotes deprotonation of the C3 methyl group until this reaction is nearly quantitative. A $12: 1$ mixture $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) of complexes $\mathbf{1 4 : 1 3}$ is isolated from the reaction medium by precipitation into ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In the absence of an external acid, complex $\mathbf{1 4}$ is stable in solution $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ for an extended period of time, but addition of excess acid to a solution of $\mathbf{1 4}$ quantitatively regenerates complex 12. However, when 14 is combined with an equivalent of TBSOTf at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ CN , an aldol reaction occurs with the pendant ketone to generate the $4 H$-anisolium complex 15 (Scheme 4). Treatment of complex 15 with 2,6-lutidine and warming to $\sim 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in

Scheme 4. Michael-Aldol Cyclization Using a Complex of 3-Methylanisole
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acetonitrile generates the free organic tetralin derivative $\mathbf{1 6}$ in a $55 \%$ yield.

Once synthesized, intramolecular aldol adduct 4 is further derivatized via functionalization with hydride reducing agents. The regioselectivity of reduction for compound $\mathbf{4}$ is dependent upon the hydride reducing agent used as well as the reaction temperature (Scheme 5). When complex 4 is treated with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCNBH}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution changes from purple to light brown. Addition of methanol followed by precipitation into an ether/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture results in the isolation of compound 17, in which the hydride reduction has occurred regioselectively in a 1,4 -manner. Complex $\mathbf{1 7}$ contains many distinguishing features, including diagnostic resonances for the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ vinyl ether group. The cis- and trans-ammine resonances and the cyclic voltammogram for $\mathbf{1 7}\left(E_{1 / 2}=0.75 \mathrm{~V}\right.$, NHE) are consistent with values typically observed for diene complexes of pentaammineosmium(II). ${ }^{6}$ The product complex is again isolated as a $7: 1$ mixture ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) of diastereomers (C7 epimers). However, the reduction at the bridgehead position is entirely stereoselective (vide infra).

The vinyl ether functionality of complex $\mathbf{1 7}$ is easily protonated with triflic acid in acetonitrile at room temperature to generate the enonium complex 18. Concurrent with protonation, elimination of the tertiary hydroxy group of $\mathbf{1 7}$ occurs and a new double bond is formed in the product. The double bond elimination is not completely regioselective, and a 7:1 mixture (18a:18b) of isomeric decalins is formed. Compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ is prone to form an oil when precipitating solvents such as ether are added to the reaction mixture, and this significantly compromises the isolated yield. Therefore we developed a procedure for the direct transformation of 17 into an enone

[^1]Scheme 5. Elaboration of the Methoxytetralinium Complex 4a


* "a" designates major isomer
product. Protonation of complex 17 in acetonitrile followed by addition of water to this mixture results in the rapid hydrolysis of the oxonium functionality to produce an enone complex of pentaammineosmium(II). Direct addition of CAN in water results in oxidation of the metal center and the release of the isomeric mixture of enones 19a and 19b. The two organic enones are difficult to separate using conventional chromatographic techniques, but a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY experiment $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) allows identification of the major constitutional isomer as compound 19a. In addition, confirmation of the trans ring fusion was obtained by comparison with literature values for the bridgehead methyl group in similar naphthalen-2-one systems. ${ }^{7}$

By changing the reducing agent and reaction conditions it is possible to alter the regioselectivity of the hydride addition. When complex 4 is combined with lithium-9-boratobicyclo[3.3.1]nonane hydride (Li9BBNH) in a $1: 1$ acetonitrile/propionitrile mixture at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution changes color from purple to light brown. Precipitation into ether results in the isolation of complex $\mathbf{2 0}$, a 1,4-diene complex of pentaammineosmium(II). Although complex 20 displays electrochemical

[^2]data and cis- and trans-ammine resonances similar to those of 1,3-diene complex 17, there are pronounced differences in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of both. Complex 20 contains resonances at $\delta 5.62$ and $5.29-5.32$ corresponding to the uncoordinated olefinic proton and the allylic methine proton, respectively. In addition, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum shows a typical olefinic pattern with a methine resonance at 121 ppm and a quaternary olefinic resonance at 144 ppm .

Decomplexation of the methoxydiene ligand of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with silver triflate in acetone does not proceed cleanly, and a complex mixture of organic products is isolated. However, when complex 20 is protonated at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with triflic acid in acetonitrile, the solution darkens, indicating the formation of what we presume is the arenium species 21 . This complex is analogous to that formed from protonation of $\eta^{2}$-benzene complexes. ${ }^{8}$ Addition of a nucleophile such as $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCNBH}_{3}$ or dimethyl malonate/DIEA results in the formation of the 1,4diene complexes $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23, (both formed as 7:1 C7 epimeric mixtures). When silver triflate is added to an acetone solution of complex 23, oxidation takes place to liberate the organic compound 24 in an overall yield of $79 \%$ from 4 ( $>93 \%$ average per step).

A crystal of 24a (major diastereomer) suitable for X-ray structure determination was grown by vapor diffusion (EtOAc/ hexanes). The ORTEP diagram (Supporting Information) confirms several stereochemical issues. The anti disposition of the methyl group at C 4 a and the malonate group at C 2 demonstrate clearly that all electrophilic and nucleophilic additions occur on the face of the ring that is opposite that of metal coordination. In addition, given the high percentage of mass recovered after recrystallization, the major isomer of 24 (i.e., 24a) has the hydroxy group at C 7 on the same face of the ring system as the malonate ester (or anti to the osmium in complex 12). By inference, the same orientation of the C7 hydroxy group is assumed to hold for $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{1 7 a}$, and 20a-23a.

## Discussion

Pioneering studies by Semmelhack et al. of complexed arene cyclization reactions utilized an $\alpha$-cyano anion. Using a deprotonation/electrophilic addition/nucleophilic addition sequence with (anisole) $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ various tetralin derivatives were prepared. ${ }^{9}$ Recent variations on this theme have achieved the cyclization while dearomatizing the complexed arene. Schmalz et al. have reported an intramolecular radical addition to a chromium tricarbonyl tetralin complex using $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ to provide hydrophenalene derivatives. ${ }^{10}$ Cyclization reactions taking advantage of an activated benzylic position of an arene complex have been carried out by Meyer and Jaouen to synthesize a variety of benzobicyclic ring systems. ${ }^{11,12}$ In this synthetic sequence, the ability of the chromium tricarbonyl fragment to increase the acidity of benzylic protons was used to generate benzylic nucleophiles that closed rings in an aldol fashion. ${ }^{2}$ However, this methodology has not been widely developed, possibly due to the fact that bases that are normally used to deprotonate the benzylic positions of (arene) $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ complexes are basic enough to deprotonate positions $\alpha$ to carbonyl groups. ${ }^{11,12}$

[^3]The osmium(II)-promoted ring closures presented herein differ from those of $\eta^{6}$-arenes in two fundamental aspects. Because the metal is electron-donating rather than accepting, activation of the benzylic position is promoted by electrophilic addition rather than direct deprotonation. Given that an arenium rather than an arene ligand is deprotonated at the benzylic position, bases required to deprotonate the benzylic position are extremely mild (e.g., DMA) and cyclizations are performed under acidic rather than basic reaction conditions. Furthermore, since the product of the cyclization is an $\eta^{2}-4 H$-anisolium system, the metal may be used to promote subsequent reactions at other carbons of the arene precursor prior to decomplexation of the organic ligand. As demonstrated herein and in previous studies, ${ }^{3,4,13-15}$ the metal strictly defines the stereochemistry for the majority of these transformations.

The aldol reaction is a common method for forming rings in organic synthesis. Examples that are most relevant to the osmium-promoted cyclization presented herein include the acid mediated ring closure of a suitably functionalized 2-cyclohexen1 -one to generate a tetrahydronaphthalenone ${ }^{16}$ and the ring closure of a dienol derived from $\alpha$-ionone to form bicyclic retenoic acid derivatives. ${ }^{17}$

The osmium-promoted intramolecular aldol reaction may be achieved even when C 4 of the arene precursor contains a proton. Given the high acidity of this proton for anisolium complexes (e.g., 12; $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)=(0$ to -6$),{ }^{8}$ it is remarkable that a selectivity as high as $12: 1$ can be achieved for deprotonation at the benzylic position. Thus, an additional feature of the pentaammineosmium system is that the C 4 proton is shielded on the top face of the molecule by the pentaammineosmium(II) metal center while it is blocked on the lower face by the butanone chain. Thus the most kinetically accessible protons are those on the C3 methyl group. When a strong base is used, deprotonation at the C3 methyl group provides the desired methoxytriene product. Although deprotonation most likely occurs at the benzylic position, with the weaker pyridine bases (see Table 1), the resulting pyridinium conjugate acid is strong enough to reprotonate the exo-methylene group and eventually the arenium $\mathbf{1 2}$ undergoes C 4 deprotonation.

## Concluding Remarks

A novel method for the synthesis of functionalized decalins has been presented which takes advantage of the dearomatizing properties of pentaammineosmium(II). Michael additions with various 3-alkylated anisole complexes result in stabilized 4 H arenium systems that may be deprotonated at the C 3 benzylic position in order to achieve cyclization with the pendant carbonyl. In addition to promoting the cyclization, the metal center governs the stereochemistry of subsequent hydride reductions of the resulting tetrahydronaphthalonium system.

## Experimental Section

Abbreviations: $\mathrm{DDQ}=$ 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone; $\mathrm{DME}=1,2$-dimethoxyethane; $\mathrm{DMA}=N, N$-dimethylacetamide; TBAC $=$ tetra- $n$-butylammonium cyanoborohydride; TBS $=$ tert-butyldim-
(13) Kopach, M. E.; Harman, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6581.
(14) Gonzalez, J.; Koontz, J. I.; Myers, W. H.; Hodges, L. M.; Sabat, M.; Nilsson, K. R.; Neely, L. K.; Harman, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3405.
(15) Gonzalez, J.; Sabat, M.; Harman, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8857.
(16) Takahashi, K.; Asakawa, M.; Ogura, K. Chem. Lett. 1988, 1109.
(17) Tutorskaya, O. O.; Elyashberg, M. E.; Yampol'skii, Y. Y.; Karasev, Y. Z.; Miropol'skaya, M. A.; Samokhalov, G. Zh. Org. Khim. 1990, 26, 294-298.
ethylsilyl; $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(triflate); TBAH $=$tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate; $\mathrm{MVK}=$ methyl vinyl ketone. $\mathrm{CAN}=\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6^{-}}$ $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(5,6-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-3-methylidine-1-methoxy-4-methyl-4-(3-oxobu-tyl)-1,5-cyclohexadiene)](OTf) $\mathbf{2}$ (3). Compound 2 (198 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(800 \mathrm{mg})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, causing an instant color change to light yellow. Precipitation into a $1: 1$ mixture of ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300$ mL ) gave a light yellow solid which was collected, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried in vacuo ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 209.8$ (C), 165.1 (C), 150.3 (C), 107.0 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 94.7(\mathrm{CH}), 56.4(\mathrm{CH}), 55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.5(\mathrm{C}), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
[ $\left.\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right)\left(3,4-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.$-(methyl 7,4a-dimethyl-7-hydroxy-5,6,7,8-tet-rahydro-4aH-naphthal-2-onium))](OTf) $\mathbf{3}_{3}$ (4). Complex 2 ( 890 mg , $0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(7.1 \mathrm{~g})$, and dimethylacetamide ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After $\sim 15 \mathrm{~min}$, the solution was added to $\sim 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ of stirring ether, the resulting slurry was filtered, and the solid was dried in vacuo. The product $(\mathbf{4 a}+\mathbf{4 b})$ was isolated as a purple solid ( $856 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (major isomer 4a) $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16$ (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 196.4$ (C), $195.0(\mathrm{C}), 114.9(\mathrm{CH}), 80.1(\mathrm{C}), 67.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 62.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 50.2(\mathrm{CH}), 50.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.1(\mathrm{C}), 44.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{Os}$ : C, 20.69; H, 3.58; N, 7.54. Found: C, 20.21; H, 3.85; N, 7.76.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathbf{3 , 4 - \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}\right.$-(methyl 4a-(3-oxobutyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4aH-naphthal-2-onium) $](\mathbf{O T f})_{3}$ (6). Complex $5(218 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.60 \mathrm{~g})$, and methyl vinyl ketone ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36$ mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and HOTf ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(370 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, imparting a purple color to the reaction mixture. After $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, addition to ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ caused the precipitation of a lavender solid which was collected, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.00-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 207.6$ (C), 197.3 (C), 190.5 (C), 115.2 $(\mathrm{CH}), 64.1(\mathrm{CH}), 62.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.7(\mathrm{C}), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$

Complex (7). Compound $6(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{mg})$, and DMA $(4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. Conversion to the aldol adduct 7 was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. After $\sim 12 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR revealed a $1: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{6 : 7}$ where the latter compound is a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. Partial characterization: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 6.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(3,4-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-2-methoxy-4a-(3-oxobutyl)-4a,5,6,7-tetrahydronaphthalene) $](\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (8). Compound $6(376 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5.00 \mathrm{~g})$ and pyridine ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, causing the reaction mixture to change in color from purple to brown. Addition of the reaction solution to a $1: 1$ mixture of ether and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ caused the precipitation of a yellow solid which was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether $(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, collected, and dried in vacuo ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 5.10(\mathrm{t}, J=3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 209.2(\mathrm{C}), 162.1(\mathrm{C}), 140.2(\mathrm{C}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $94.0(\mathrm{CH}), 54.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.6(\mathrm{CH}), 44.5(\mathrm{CH}), 42.2(\mathrm{C}), 38.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $38.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

Complex 9. Complex $8(325 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.1 \mathrm{~g})$, and TBSOTf ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, imparting a purple color to the reaction mixture. After $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, addition of the reaction solution to ether $(\sim 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ caused the precipitation of a purple
solid. The solid was collected, rinsed with ether, and dried in vacuo $(212 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.76-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 199.2(\mathrm{C}), 198.0(\mathrm{C}), 137.1(\mathrm{C}), 125.6(\mathrm{CH}), 110.7(\mathrm{CH}), 66.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $62.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.7(\mathrm{CH}), 49.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.8(\mathrm{C}), 38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

Compound 10. Complex 9 ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in water $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was transferred to a pressure tube. The tube was removed from the glovebox, placed in an oil bath, and maintained at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$. After this time, the tube was returned to the glovebox and allowed to cool. Ether ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was layered on top of the aqueous solution, and then CAN ( $714 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$, and the aqueous phase was separated. The organic layer was diluted with 25 mL of ether and was then washed with $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (saturated), $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water, and 2 $\times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine. The aqueous layers were then separated and washed with $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ether. The organic layers were combined and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (9:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) resulted in the isolation of $10(33 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.96 (br s, 1H), 2.29-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.19 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.96 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 187.3(\mathrm{C}), 168.5(\mathrm{C}), 155.6(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3(\mathrm{C}), 126.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 121.9(\mathrm{CH}), 120.5(\mathrm{CH}), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.7(\mathrm{C}), 39.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 83.96; H, 8.05. Found: C, 84.03; H, 8.24.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(5,6-\eta^{2}-4\right.\right.$-(3-oxobutyl)-3-methylanisole) $](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(\mathbf{1 3})$. Complex $\mathbf{1 1}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10)$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(514 \mathrm{mg})$, and MVK ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ ) was added. The solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and pre-cooled HOTf ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(204 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The reaction mixture immediately turned purple upon addition of HOTf. After $\sim 20 \mathrm{~min}$, 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\mathrm{CN}(206 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the solution was allowed to stand until the purple color changed to brown $(2.2 \mathrm{~h})$. The solution was added to a $1: 1$ solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting slurry was filtered. The product was collected, rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo $(13,66 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}): \delta 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(5,6-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-3-methylidine-4-(3-oxobutyl)-1,5-cyclohexadiene) $](\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (14). Complex $11(355 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$, MVK ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Precooled HOTf ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{CN}(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added, imparting a purple color to the reaction mixture. After $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, a cold solution of diisopropylethylamine ( $698 \mathrm{mg}, 5.4$ mmol ) was added, and the reaction mixture changed color to brown. After $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$, addition to ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ caused the precipitation of a yellow solid ( $273 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) which was collected, rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and ether, and dried in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}): \delta 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$

2-Methyl-2-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (16). Complex 14 ( $418 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.5 \mathrm{~g})$, and the solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Precooled TBSOTf ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(503 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the color of the solution rapidly changed from brown to purple. After $\sim 25 \mathrm{~min}$, precooled pyridine ( 1.0 g ) was added, and the solution was transferred to a pressure tube. The solution was heated overnight ( $\sim 12$ h) in an oil bath maintained at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was added to $\sim 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of stirring ether, and the resulting slurry was filtered. The organic phase was rinsed with 2 $\times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (saturated), $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine, and the aqueous extracts were in turn washed with $1 \times 10$ mL of ether. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (hexanes)
yielded the product ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 85 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a clear liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-$ $1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.5$ (C), 136.8 (C), 129.4 (CH), 128.0 (C), 114.0 $(\mathrm{CH}), 111.9(\mathrm{CH}), 71.9(\mathrm{C}), 55.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3(\mathrm{C}),-1.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-2.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 70.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.87$. Found: C, 70.67 ; H, 10.19 .
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(3,4-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-4 \mathrm{a}, 7\right.\right.$-dimethyl-7-hydroxy-4a,5,6,7,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalene) $](\mathbf{O T f})_{2}(\mathbf{1 7})$. Complex $4(453 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.5 \mathrm{~g})$, and a solution of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCNBH}_{3}(169 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.60 mmol in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added. After $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$, the purple color changed to brown, and MeOH ( 505 mg ) was added. The solution was allowed to stand for $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$ and was then added to a $1: 1$ solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting slurry was filtered. The product was collected, rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether $(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo ( $\mathbf{1 7}, 265 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). The product was isolated as a 7:1 mixture of diastereomers. Characterization reported for major diastereomer (17a) only. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 4.38$ (br s, 1 H ), 4.12 (br s, 3H), 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.15 (br s, 12 H ), $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 159.8(\mathrm{C}), 93.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.6(\mathrm{C}), 59.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $55.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.9(\mathrm{CH}), 42.1(\mathrm{C}), 40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 36.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Electrochemistry $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}): E_{1 / 2}=0.75 \mathrm{~V}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathbf{3}, 4-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-4 \mathrm{a}, 7\right.\right.$-dimethyl-4a,5,8,8a-tetrahydro-1 $H$-naphthal-2-onium $)](\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ (18a) and $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathbf{3 , 4 - \eta ^ { 2 } - 4 a , 7 - d i m e t h y l - 4 a , 5 , 6 , -}\right.\right.$ 8a-tetrahydro-1H-naphthal-2-onium)](OTf) $\mathbf{3}_{3}$ (18b). Complex 17 ( $515 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and HOTf (199 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution immediately darkened, and after $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$, the solution was added to ether $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting slurry was filtered, and the product was collected, rinsed with ether ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) , and dried in vacuo ( $\mathbf{1 8}, 395 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). Characterization is reported for major diastereomer (18a) only. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $\delta$ $5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 217.3(\mathrm{C}), 131.4$ (C), $122.1(\mathrm{CH}), 71.7 .(\mathrm{CH}), 64.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 54.5(\mathrm{CH}), 43.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.9$ (C), $35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.7(\mathrm{CH}), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4a,7-Dimethyl-4a,5,8,8a-tetrahydro-1 H -naphthal-2-one (19a) and 4a,7-Dimethyl-4a,5,6,8a-tetrahydro-1H-naphthal-2-one (19b). Complex $18(255 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$, and HOTf ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, water ( 1.1 g ) was added, and the solution was allowed to stand for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$. Ether (5 mL ) was added to the solution, and the heterogeneous mixture was treated with CAN ( $363 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water ( 1.3 g ). The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$, and the solution was removed from the glovebox and the aqueous layer separated off. The organic phase was diluted with ether $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (saturated), $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine. The aqueous layers were then separated and washed with $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ether. The organic layers were combined and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ( $9: 1$ petroleum ether/ether) resulted in the isolation of 19a,b ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ from 17) as a clear liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (19a) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for major isomer $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 200.3$ (C), 161.2 (CH), $131.5(\mathrm{C}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH}), 118.9(\mathrm{CH}), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.6(\mathrm{C}), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 81.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15$. Found: C, 81.86; H, 9.47. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (19b). Not all peaks could be assigned due to overlapping resonances in the spectrum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.54(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathbf{3 , 4 - \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-4 \mathrm{a}, 7\right.\right.$-dimethyl-7-hydroxy-2-methoxy-2,4a,5,6,7,8hexaahydronaphthalene) $](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (20). Complex $4(509 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{EtCN}(3.5 \mathrm{~g})$, and cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Precooled $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Li}-9 \mathrm{BBNH}$ dissolved in THF ( 611 mg , 0.56 mmol ) was added, immediately discharging the purple color to a light yellow. After $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, the solution was added to ether ( 100 mL ), and the resulting slurry was filtered. The solid was collected, rinsed with ether ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried in vacuo ( $\mathbf{2 0}, 395 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (20) $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ (br s, 3H), 3.87-3.92 (m, 1H), $3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 144.3(\mathrm{C}), 120.8(\mathrm{CH}), 82.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 72.3(\mathrm{C}), 59.1(\mathrm{CH}), 57.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.9(\mathrm{C}), 36.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. This complex was recrystallized from methanol/ether via vapor diffusion to yield material suitable for analysis. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}{ }^{-}$ Os: C, $23.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.96$. Found: C, 23.02; H, 4.51; N, 8.51.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(3,4-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-4a, 7 -dimethyl-7-hydroxy-2,4a,5,6,7,8-hexahydronaphthalene)](OTf) $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ (22). Complex $20(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(404 \mathrm{mg})$, and the soltution was cooled to -40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cold triflic acid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(203 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the solution darkened in color. After $\sim 20 \mathrm{~min}$, TBAC ( 57 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the solution lightened. After $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$, the solution was precipitated into $\sim 50$ mL of a $1: 1$ ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution, and the slurry is filtered. The resulting solid was filtered, rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ then ether, and the product was isolated as a white powder ( $\mathbf{2 2}, 56 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 4 \%}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (22) $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 5.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84$ (dd, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 140.6(\mathrm{C}), 122.8(\mathrm{CH}), 71.4(\mathrm{C}), 58.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $47.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.2(\mathrm{C}), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathbf{3}, 4-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.\right.$-4a, 7-dimethyl-2-(dimethylmalonyl)-7-hydroxy-2,4a,5,6,7,8-hexahydronaphthalene)](OTf) 2 (23). Complex 20 (266 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$, and the solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cold triflic acid ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added, and the solution darkened. After $\sim 20$ min, cold dimethyl malonate ( $494 \mathrm{mg}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIEA ( 116 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was added and the solution immediately lightened. After $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, the solution was precipitated into $\sim 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ of an ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution, and the resulting slurry was filtered. The solid was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ then ether, and the product (23, $234 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 8 \%}$ ) was isolated as a white solid. Product isolated as an $\sim 7: 1$ mixture of diastereomers, characterization reported for major diastereomer (23) only. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.26$ (d, $J$ $=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $14 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ (br s, 6 H$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170.8$ (C), 170.7 (C), 144.7 (C), 123.7 (CH), 71.7 $(\mathrm{C}), 61.1(\mathrm{CH}), 56.8(\mathrm{CH}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.2(\mathrm{CH}), 47.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.1(\mathrm{C}), 40.6(\mathrm{CH}), 37.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Electrochemistry (TBAH, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}\right): E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.82 \mathrm{~V}$.

4a,7-Dimethyl-2-(dimethylmalonyl)-7-hydroxy-2,4a,5,6,7,8-hexahydronaphthalene (24). Complex 20 ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.6 \mathrm{~g})$, and the solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cold triflic acid ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(817 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the solution was allowed to stand at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 20 \mathrm{~min}$. After this time, a cold solution consisting of dimethyl malonate ( 518 mg , 3.81 mmol ) and diisopropylethylamine ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{CN}(417 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and the solution color immediately lightened. After $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{AgOTf}(176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in acetone ( 1.0 g ) was added, and the solution immediately became heterogeneous. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over the course of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and was then added to $\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of stirring ether. The slurry was filtered, and the organic phase was washed with $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}), 2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ and 2 $\times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine. The organic phase was separated off and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed in vacuo. Chromatography of the
residue ( $4: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) resulted in the isolation of $\mathbf{2 4}$ (83 $\mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (24) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 5.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.8$ (C), 168.5 (C), 140.5 (C), 138.2 $(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{CH}), 121.2(\mathrm{CH}), 56.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.1(\mathrm{CH}), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.8(\mathrm{C}), 35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.4(\mathrm{C})$. This sample was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/ hexanes to generate a crystal suitable for X-ray structure analysis and elemental analysis. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 66.21; H, 7.84 . Found: C, 66.11; H, 7.97.
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